## COLLOQUIA MATHEMATICA SOCIETATIS JÁNOS BOLYAI 63. INTUITIVE GEOMETRY, SZEGED (HUNGARY), 1991

# The HEUREKA-Polyhedron

H. STACHEL

### Introduction

Buckminster Fuller's Jitterbug consists of eight equilateral triangles. Each vertex represents a spherical joint between two triangles (see [1], [2]). At the HEUREKA-polyhedron<sup>1</sup> these twelve spherical joints are replaced by joints with degree 2 of freedom. Buckminster Fuller had already studied the one-parametric motion  $M_O$  of the Jitterbug that converts a regular octahedron via a regular icosahedron into a cuboctahedron (Fig. 2). This motion  $M_O$  is now constrained for the HEUREKA-polyhedron. The proof for this fact reveals that this polyhedron allows also a second one-parametric motion  $M_T$  (Fig. 8). At the Jitterbug this motion recently has been recognized by H. F. Verheyen [3]. It converts a twofold covered regular tetrahedron via a truncated tetrahedron into a regular octahedron.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  A 15 m high model of this polyhedron was exhibited at the national research exposition of Switzerland 1991 in Zurich.

### Definition of the one-parametric motion $M_O$

Let a regular octahedron O be given with edges of length 1. In each face of O we inscribe an equilateral triangle in such a way that on each edge there is a common vertex for the triangles inscribed in the two neighbouring faces (Fig. 1). All these twelve new vertices divide their edges in the same ratio. If the lengths of the two segments are denoted by t and (1 - t), then the side-length of the inscribed triangles reads

$$l(t) = \sqrt{3t^2 - 3t + 1}.$$

The angle  $\tau$  of rotation of each inscribed triangle against the original face matches the equations

$$\sin \tau = \frac{t\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3t^2 - 3t + 1}}, \quad \cos \tau = \frac{2 - 3t}{2\sqrt{3t^2 - 3t + 1}}, \quad \tan \tau = \frac{t\sqrt{3}}{2 - 3t}$$

Additionally we transform this figure by a dilation with dilatation factor 1/l(t). Then the sides of all eight inscribed triangles have unit length.



Figure 1. Octahedron **O** and HEUREKA-polyhedron **H** 



Figure 2. The one-parametric motion  $M_O$ 

While the parameter t is varying from 0 to 1 the kinematic chain **H** formed by these triangles of side length 1 performs a one-parametric motion  $M_O$  (Fig. 2). The dihedral angle  $\varphi$  between two neighbouring faces remains unchanged. We calculate

$$\tan \frac{\varphi}{2} = \sqrt{2}, \quad \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \frac{\varphi}{2} = 54,73..^{\circ}, \quad \cos \varphi = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad \varphi = 109,47..^{\circ}.$$

In the initial position and in the end position the triangles cover the faces of **O**. We obtain for t = 0, 5 the triangular faces of a cuboctahedron. For  $t = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2 = 0,6180..$  (golden section) or for  $t = (3-\sqrt{5})/2 = 0,3819..$  the convex closure of the triangles is a regular icosahedron; the dilatated octahedron **O** is one of the five regular octahedra that can be circumscribed about this icosahedron.

In half of the faces of  $\mathbf{O}$  the increasing t defines a clockwise rotation, if it is seen from outside. In this case the included faces of  $\mathbf{H}$  will be called *positive*; their planes define a regular tetrahedron circumscribed to  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{O}$ . The other faces of  $\mathbf{H}$  are called *negative*.

Let  $S_O$  denote the symmetry-group of the octahedron **O**. In order to preserve the set **H** of inscribed triangles, a direct motion  $\delta \in S_O$  has to transform the positive faces again into positive faces. In this case  $\delta$  maps each circumscribed tetrahedron onto itself. The indirect motions of  $S_O$  that preserve **H** have to commute the two tetrahedra.

ace

of ere ces

io. ;he

tor

#### H. STACHEL

Hence for  $t \neq 0,5$  the symmetry-group  $S_H$  of **H** is isomorphic to the group  $S_O/\{id, \sigma\}$ , provided  $\sigma \in S_O$  is the reflection in a plane perpendicular to one edge of **O**. Group  $S_H$  contains eight 120°-rotations about the face-axes of **O**, three 180°-rotations about vertex-axes and the identity together with the products of each of these isometries with the reflection in the center of **O**. The symmetry operations contained in  $S_H$  induce just the even permutations of the four face-axes of **O**.

### The HEUREKA-polyhedron

The defined one-parametric motion  $M_O$  of **H** preserves the dihedral angle between each two neighbouring triangles. Therefore this structure will still be flexing, if the lines perpendicular to the corresponding planes at the common vertex are linked together by a connecting sector outside of **O** with center angle  $(\pi - \varphi)$ . The perpendicular lines are the axes of rotation of this rigid sector against the adjacent triangles thus forming the special joints used at the HEUREKA-polyhedron (Fig. 3). This completed structure shall again be denoted by **H**.



Figure 3. Joints of the HEUREKA-polyhedron

Let us fix one triangle  $A_1A_2A_3$  of **H** in a horizontal position (Fig. 1). Then the opposite triangle  $\overline{A}_1\overline{A}_2\overline{A}_3$  performs a pure translation in vertical direction. Any remaining vertex of **H** will be denoted by  $A_{ij}$  provided this

#### THE HEUREKA-POLYHEDRON



Figure 4. Top view of point paths under  $M_O$ 

point is endpoint of edges through  $A_i$  and  $\overline{A}_j$  respectively. In the following we discuss the path of  $A_{ij}$ :

The triangle  $A_1A_{12}A_{13}$  is symmetric to the fixed triangle  $A_1A_2A_3$  with respect to the plane  $\chi_1$  bisecting the angle between the corresponding planes. The plane  $\chi_1$  through  $A_1$  has a constant inclination. Therefore  $\chi_1$  is tangent to a cone  $\Psi'_1$  of revolution with the vertex  $A_1$  and with the half-vertex-angle  $(\pi - \varphi)/2$ . Any plane tangent to  $\Psi'_1$  is a possible mirror plane unless it contains interior points of the base triangle  $A_1A_2A_3$ . We can produce the motion of the triangle  $A_1A_{12}A_{13}$  around point  $A_1$  by rolling a cone  $\Psi_1$  on the fixed cone  $\Psi'_1$ , where  $\Psi_1$  is congruent to  $\Psi'_1$ . Under this rolling of cones the point  $A_{13}$  traces a spheric trochoid  $a_{13}$  on the unit sphere  $\Sigma_1$  with center  $A_1$ .  $a_{13}$  can also be obtained by reflecting  $A_2$  in admissible tangent planes of  $\Psi'_1$ . The lines joining  $A_2$  and  $A_{13}$  have the constant inclination  $(\pi - \varphi)/2$ and they intersect  $\Sigma_1$  in  $A_2$  and  $A_{13}$ . Between each two vertical planes through  $A_2$  the intersecting circle with  $\Sigma_1$  and the line  $A_2A_{13}$  make similar figures. Hence we get the top view  $a'_{13}$  of  $a_{13}$  by transforming the equator of  $\Sigma_1$  under a dilatation with center  $A_2$  and with the dilatation-factor

$$\cos^2\frac{(\pi-\varphi)}{2} = \sin^2\frac{\varphi}{2} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

he ar ceer he en

gle ill he th is ts re

.). al is 451

#### H. STACHEL

 $a'_{13}$  is a 240°-degree circular arc with radius 2/3 (Fig. 4).  $a_{13}$  is part of a quartic of the first kind with one node.

In a coordinate system with vertical z-axis, with  $A_1 = (0,0,0)$  and  $A_2 = (\mathbf{0},\mathbf{q},0)$  the path  $a_{13}$  reads

$$a_{13}: \quad x = \frac{2}{3}\sin 2\tau, \quad y = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\cos 2\tau, \quad z = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\sin \tau, \qquad 0 \le \tau \le \frac{2\pi}{3}.$$

Analogously the path  $a_{12}$  of  $A_{12}$  has a circular top view  $a'_{12}$ . In each position the two lines  $A_2A_{13}$  and  $A_3A_{12}$  are perpendicular to  $\chi_1$  and therefore parallel to each other. Due to the theorem of the circumference-angle we conclude that the image points  $A'_{13}$  and  $A'_{12}$  in the top view are running along their circular paths  $a'_{13}$  and  $a'_{12}$  with the same velocity at each moment. This could also be confirmed using the parameter equations of  $a_{12}$  and  $a_{13}$ . The symmetry operations of **H** reveal that the velocities of all image points  $A'_{ij}$ are equal.

#### The one-parametric motion $M_O$ of H is constrained

The degree of freedom of the HEUREKA-polyhedron  $\mathbf{H}$  is  $F \geq 1$ . We show F = 1 for all positions that can continuously be obtained from positions of  $M_O$ . This is done by proving that in this case the faces are located in the planes of a regular octahedron  $\mathbf{O}$ . Hence each vertex of  $\mathbf{H}$  is lying on an edge of  $\mathbf{O}$  and this characterizes  $M_O$ . But there are still other motions without any bifurcation to  $M_O$ .

Let any position of the completed structure **H** with 8 triangles and 12 sectors be given. We begin our discussion by defining the spherical component of the motion: Each face is represented by a perpendicular unit vector pointing to the outside where the joining sectors are situated. The endpoints of these vectors define a graph with 8 knots and 12 bars on the unit sphere  $\Sigma$  (Fig. 5). The knots are seen as turning points between the bars. All bars have the same spherical length  $(\pi - \varphi)$  and they enclose six spherical quadrangles  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_6$ .<sup>2</sup>

452

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The interior of these spherical quadrangles is unique by the definition that it contains the shorter arcs of the spherical diagonals.







ıde The  $A'_{ij}$ 

 $\operatorname{ion}$ llel neir his



Figure 5. Spherical component of  $M_O$ .

There are 3 cases:

1. These quadrangles cover the whole unit sphere.

2. None of these quadrangles degenerates, but they don't cover  $\Sigma$ .

3. At least two bars coincide.

Case 1. If the quadrangles cover the whole unit sphere, then the spherical framework is rigid. The eight triangles of H are always parallel to the faces of a regular octahedron.

**Proof.** Spherical quadrangles of equal side-length have two axes of symmetry. It can easily be proved that the area A of such a quadrangle is maximal if and only if the diagonals have the same length. In all positions of our one-parametric motion  $M_O$  the 8 knots are the vertices of a cube. Then all six quadrangles are of maximal area and they just cover  $\Sigma$ . Therefore the area of each quadrangle is  $A \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}$ , and there is only one way to cover the whole sphere by six quadrangles of this type.

We will prove that the planes of the 8 faces form either a regular octahedron or a regular tetrahedron: Let us denote these planes by  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_8$ . We suppose that the positive faces are characterized by even indices and that the pairs  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_8)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_7)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_6)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5)$  determine opposite faces. In each plane  $\varepsilon_i$  the lines of intersection with the adjacent planes form a regular triangle that is circumscribed to the face of **H**. Let the vertices of the

ow  $\mathbf{ns}$ inon  $\mathbf{ns}$ nd cal

 $\operatorname{nit}$ 

he hehe

six

 $_{\rm it}$ 



Figure 6. Faces in  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_4$ ,  $\varepsilon_6$ 

face in  $\varepsilon_i$  divide the sides of the trace triangle in segments of length  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ .

We suppose that the faces adjacent to  $\varepsilon_1$  in the positive order are  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_4$ ,  $\varepsilon_6$ . From the parallelity to the planes of an octahedron we deduce that also the planes  $\varepsilon_3$ ,  $\varepsilon_7$ ,  $\varepsilon_5$  meet  $\varepsilon_1$  in a regular triangle. Fig. 6 shows  $\varepsilon_1$  together with the planes  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_4$  and  $\varepsilon_6$  after rotation about their trace into  $\varepsilon_1$  (cf. Fig. 1). By comparing the segments on the sides of the trace triangle in  $\varepsilon_1$ with those in  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_4$  and  $\varepsilon_6$  we deduce the equations

$$b_2 - b_1 = a_4 - a_1,$$
  $b_4 - b_1 = a_6 - a_1,$   $b_6 - b_1 = a_2 - a_1.$ 

Using the terms

$$A_{ij} := a_i - a_j, \qquad B_{ij} := b_i - b_j$$

we obtain

 We resolve these equations for  $A_{i1}$  and  $B_{i1}$ ,  $i \in \{3, 5, 7\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{41} &= A_{23} = A_{21} - A_{31} \Rightarrow A_{31} = A_{21} - A_{41}, \\ A_{21} &= A_{65} = A_{61} - A_{51} \Rightarrow A_{51} = A_{61} - A_{21}, \\ A_{61} &= A_{47} = A_{41} - A_{71} \Rightarrow A_{71} = A_{41} - A_{61}, \\ A_{41} &= B_{43} = B_{41} - B_{31} \Rightarrow B_{31} = A_{61} - A_{41}, \\ A_{21} &= B_{25} = B_{21} - B_{51} \Rightarrow B_{51} = A_{41} - A_{21}, \\ A_{61} &= B_{67} = B_{61} - B_{71} \Rightarrow B_{71} = A_{21} - A_{61}. \end{aligned}$$

By paying regard to these solutions we derive

$$B_{32} = A_{52} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{61} - A_{41} - A_{41} = A_{61} - A_{21} - A_{21} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{41} = A_{21},$$
  
$$B_{56} = A_{76} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{41} - A_{21} - A_{21} = A_{41} - A_{61} - A_{61} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{21} = A_{61},$$

hence

$$A := A_{21} = A_{41} = A_{61}, \qquad A_{31} = A_{51} = A_{71} = 0,$$
  
$$B_{21} = B_{41} = B_{61} = A, \qquad B_{31} = B_{51} = B_{71} = 0.$$

Additionally we find

 $\varepsilon_4,$ 

$$B_{58} = A_{52} \Rightarrow B_{51} - B_{81} = A_{51} - A_{21} \Rightarrow B_{81} = A_{51}$$

We summarize:

also ther (cf.

 $_{14},$ 

 $58 \cdot$ 

 $a_1 = a_3 = a_5 = a_7,$   $b_1 = b_3 = b_5 = b_7,$  $a_2 = a_4 = a_6 = a_8,$   $b_2 = b_4 = b_6 = b_8,$  $a_2 - a_1 = b_2 - b_1$  or  $d := a_1 - b_1 = a_2 - b_2.$ 

Finally we take into account that the lengths  $a_1, b_1$  and  $a_2, b_2$  of the segments on the trace triangles have to define inscribed triangles with unit length.<sup>3</sup> This implies

$$a_1^2 - a_1b_1 + b_1^2 = a_2^2 - a_2b_2 + b_2^2 = 1;$$
  
 $a_1^2 - a_1d = a_2^2 - a_2d \implies (a_1 - a_2)(a_1 + a_2 - d) = 0.$ 

The first solution reads  $a_1 = a_2$ ,  $b_1 = b_2$ . This means that the planes of the eight faces form a octahedron as stated.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Note that this condition is not fulfilled in Fig. 6.



Figure 7. Tetrahedron  $\mathbf{T}$  and HEUREKA-polyhedron  $\mathbf{H}$ 

The second solution

$$a_2 = -(a_1 - d) = -b_1, \quad b_2 = a_2 - d = -a_1$$

gives raise to a new motion  $M_T$  of the HEUREKA-polyhedron; at the Jitterbug this motion recently has been noticed by H. F. Verheyen [3] In this case the pairs of opposite planes  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_8)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_7)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_6)$ ,  $(\varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5)$ are coinciding and they form the planes of a regular tetrahedron **T** (Fig 7) with dihedral angle  $\pi - \varphi = 70, 52.$ .°. Therefore the special joints of the HEUREKA-polyhedron are consistent with this situation provided the oriented lines normal to  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$ ,  $\varepsilon_5$ ,  $\varepsilon_7$  are pointing to the outside of **T** and they are opposite to those of  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_4$ ,  $\varepsilon_6$ ,  $\varepsilon_8$  respectively.

Similar to the original explanation of the HEUREKA-motion  $M_O$  we can define the one-parametric motion  $M_T$  by inscribing a symmetric pair or regular triangles in each face of  $\mathbf{T}$ .  $M_T$  converts a twofold covered tetrahedron with fourfold edges (ratio r = 0 on the edges of  $\mathbf{T}$ ) into a octahedror with a twofold covering of half of the faces and with twofold edges (r = 1 : 1) If the vertices of  $\mathbf{H}$  make three equal spaces on the edges of  $\mathbf{T}$  (r = 1 : 2) (position 4 in Fig. 8), then the vertices of  $\mathbf{H}$  form a truncated tetrahedron the edges of  $\mathbf{H}$  are diagonals of the four hexagons.

**Theorem.** The octahedral motion  $M_O$  and the tetrahedral motion  $M_T$  are constrained motions for the HEUREKA-polyhedron **H**.



Figure 8. The one-parametric motion  $M_T$ 

**Case 2.** None of these quadrangles degenerates but they don't cover  $\Sigma$ : This case turns out to be impossible on a sphere.

**Proof.** Let us assume that there is such a graph. There must be a bar  $b_{12}$  whose adjacent quadrangles  $Q_1, Q_2$  have common interior points (Fig. 9). At the endpoints  $K_{123}$  and  $K_{124}$  of  $b_{12}$  the two remaining bars  $b_{13}, b_{23}$  and  $b_{14}, b_{24}$  can be completed to quadrangles  $Q_3, Q_4$  due to their symmetry. Then also the quadrangles  $Q_6, Q_5$  opposite to  $Q_1, Q_2$  resp. are defined.

We may assume that the boundary of the union U of all six quadrangles consists of the bars  $b_{12}$ ,  $b_{13}$ ,  $b_{35}$ ,  $b_{56}$ ,  $b_{46}$ ,  $b_{24}$ . If necessary we first have to change the indices of  $Q_3$  and  $Q_4$ . The vertices  $K_{145}$  and  $K_{236}$  are interior points of U.

Let  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$  denote the measures of the pairwise congruent angles in  $Q_i$ . Due to NEPER's rule the following equation holds:

$$\cot\frac{\alpha_i}{2}\cot\frac{\beta_i}{2} = \cos(\pi - \varphi) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

We assume that the  $\beta$ -angles meet at the interior points  $K_{145}$  and  $K_{236}$ . Then we obtain

$$\beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 = \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_6 = 2\pi.$$

the and we c of hecon 1).

 $_{\mathrm{the}}$ 

 $\begin{matrix} [3].\\ \varepsilon_5 \end{matrix} \bigr)$ 

Fig.

s of

are

B

E

I I V V A

A



Figure 9. Spherical graph in case 2.

$\beta_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3,$	$\beta_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_5,$	$\beta_5 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_6,$
$\beta_6 = \alpha_5 + \alpha_4,$	$\beta_4 = \alpha_6 + \alpha_2,$	$\beta_2 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_1.$

This implies

 $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_6 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = \pi,$ 

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_i = 2\pi.$$

On the other hand on a sphere we have

 $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > \pi, \qquad \alpha_6 + \beta_6 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 > \pi,$ 

and this leads to the contradiction  $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_i > 2\pi$ .

Case 3. At least two bars coincide:

Let us assume that the quadrangle  $Q_1$  collapses into a dyad caused by the coincidence of the knots  $K_{145}$  and  $K_{123}$ . By completing  $K_{145} = K_{123}$ ,  $K_{124}$  and  $K_{246}$  to a quadrangle we get  $Q_2 = Q_4$  and thus  $K_{236} = K_{456}$ ; the quadrangle  $Q_6$  collapses too. We further obtain  $Q_3 = Q_5$ . The graph can additionally degenerate, if one of the quadrangles  $Q_2$  or  $Q_3$  or both collapse. In any case the spherical graph allows a two-parametric motion, without any bifurcation to the graph of case 1. However until now no corresponding motion of the HEUREKA-polyhedron could be found. There are some reasons to conjecture that  $M_O$  and  $M_T$  are the only motions that can be achieved by **H**.

### References

- [1] R. BUCKMINSTER FULLER, Synergetics: Explorations in the geometry of thinking. Macmillan, New York 1975, p. 190–215.
- [2] A. C. EDMONDSON, A Fuller Explanation. The Synergetic Geometry of Buckminster Fuller. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart 1987, p. 159–169.
- [3] H. F. VERHEYEN, The complete set of Jitterbug transformers and the analysis of their motion. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **17** (1989), 203–250.

H. Stachel

Institute for Geometry Technical University Vienna Wiedner Hauptstr. 8–10. A–1040 Wien AUSTRIA