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Part 1

Introduction

The first part deals with some basic notions and results from the geometry of matrices.
Rectangular Matrices

Let $M_{m,n}(\mathcal{D})$, $m, n \geq 2$, be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over a division ring $\mathcal{D}$.

- Two matrices (linear operators) $A, B \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{D})$ are adjacent if $A - B$ is of rank one. (Rank always means left row rank.)

- We consider $M_{m,n}(\mathcal{D})$ as an undirected graph the edges of which are precisely the (unordered) pairs of adjacent matrices.

- Two matrices $A, B \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{D})$ are at the graph-theoretical distance $k \geq 0$ if, and only if,

$$\text{rank}(A - B) = k.$$
Let $G_{m+n,m}(D)$ be the Grassmannian of all $m$-dimensional subspaces of $D^{m+n}$, where $m, n \geq 2$.

- Two subspaces $V, W \in G_{m+n,m}(D)$ are *adjacent* if $\dim(V \cap W) = m - 1$.

- We consider $G_{m+n,n}(D)$ as an undirected graph the edges of which are precisely the (unordered) pairs of adjacent subspaces.

- Two subspaces $V, W \in G_{m+n,m}(D)$ are at the graph-theoretical distance $k \geq 0$ if, and only if,

  $\dim(V \cap W) = m - k.$
$M_{m,n}(\mathcal{D})$ can be embedded in $G_{m+n,m}(\mathcal{D})$ as follows:

$$
M_{m,n}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow M_{m,m+n}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow G_{m+n,m}(\mathcal{D})
$$

$$
A \mapsto (A|I_m) \mapsto \text{left rowspace of } (A|I_m)
$$

Note that $(X|Y)$ and $(TX|TY)$ have the same left row space for all $T \in \text{GL}_m(\mathcal{D})$.

$G_{m+n,m}(\mathcal{D})$ may be viewed as the **projective space** of $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{D}$.

Many authors consider **projective dimensions** which are one less than dimensions of vector spaces.

E. g.: $G_{4,2}(\mathcal{D})$ is the space of lines (1-subspaces) in the 3-dimensional projective space over $\mathcal{D}$.
In the second part we present two classical results about adjacency preservers.
**Fundamental Theorem (1951).** Every bijective map \( \varphi : M_{m,n}(D) \to M_{m,n}(D) : A \mapsto A^\varphi \) preserving adjacency in both directions is of the form

\[
A \mapsto T A^\sigma S + R,
\]

where \( T \) is an invertible \( m \times m \) matrix, \( S \) is an invertible \( n \times n \) matrix, \( R \) is an \( m \times n \) matrix, and \( \sigma \) is an automorphism of the underlying division ring.

If \( m = n \), then we have the additional possibility that

\[
A \mapsto T (A^\sigma)^t S + R
\]

where \( T, S, R \) are as above, \( \sigma \) is an anti-isomorphism of \( D \), and \( A^t \) denotes the transpose of \( A \).

**Fundamental Theorem (1947).** Every bijective map $\varphi : \mathcal{G}_{m+n,n}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{G}_{m+n,n}(\mathcal{D}) : X \mapsto X^\varphi$ preserving adjacency in both directions is induced by a semilinear mapping

$$f : \mathcal{D}^{m+n} \to \mathcal{D}^{m+n} : x \mapsto x^{\sigma}T \text{ such that } X^\varphi = X^f,$$

where $T$ is an invertible $(m + n) \times (m + n)$ matrix and $\sigma$ is an automorphism of the underlying division ring.

If $m = n$, then we have the additional possibility that $\varphi$ is induced by a sesquilinear form

$$g : \mathcal{D}^{m+n} \times \mathcal{D}^{m+n} \to \mathcal{D} : (x, y) \mapsto xL(y^{\sigma})^t \text{ such that } U^\varphi = U^\perp U^g,$$

where $T$ is as above and $\sigma$ is an anti-isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$.

The assumptions in Chow’s fundamental theorem can be weakened.

Similar fundamental theorems (subject to technical restrictions) hold for:

- Spaces of Hermitian matrices ($\mathcal{D}$ a division ring with involution $\overline{\cdot}$).
- Spaces of symmetric matrices ($\mathcal{D}$ commutative).
- Spaces of alternate matrices ($\mathcal{D}$ commutative)
  (with a different definition of adjacency: $\text{rank } A - B = 2$).
- The associated projective matrix spaces (dual polar spaces).

In all cases the fundamental theorem is essentially a result on isomorphisms of graphs with finite diameter.
In the third part we exhibit diameter preservers in a purely graph-theoretic setting. Then we shall apply the results to several matrix spaces.
Recent work focusses on diameter preservers between matrix spaces and related structures.
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In this lecture we aim at pointing out the common features.
We focus our attention on graphs $\Gamma$ satisfying the following conditions:

(A1) $\Gamma$ is connected and its diameter $\text{diam } \Gamma$ is finite.

(A2) For any points $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ there is a point $z \in \mathcal{P}$ with

$$d(x, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) = \text{diam } \Gamma.$$ 

(A3) For any points $x, y, z \in \mathcal{P}$ with $d(x, z) = d(y, z) = 1$ and $d(x, y) = 2$ there is a point $w$ satisfying

$$d(x, w) = d(y, w) = 1 \text{ and } d(z, w) = 2.$$ 

(A4) For any points $x, y, z \in \mathcal{P}$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, z) = d(y, z) = \text{diam } \Gamma$ there is a point $w$ with

$$d(z, w) = 1, \quad d(x, w) = \text{diam } \Gamma - 1, \text{ and } d(y, w) = \text{diam } \Gamma.$$ 

(A5) For any adjacent points $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a point $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{a, b\}$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{P}$ the following holds:

$$d(x, p) = \text{diam } \Gamma \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, a) = \text{diam } \Gamma \vee d(x, b) = \text{diam } \Gamma.$$
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Lemma. Given a graph $\Gamma$ which satisfies conditions (A1)–(A4) let

$$n := \text{diam} \Gamma.$$  

Suppose that $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ are distinct points with the following property:

$$\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{a, b\} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{P} : \ d(x, p) = n \ \Rightarrow \ d(x, a) = n \lor d(x, b) = n. \tag{1}$$

Then $a$ and $b$ are adjacent.

Geometric idea behind the proof for $m = n = 2$ from a projective point of view:

Condition (A5) just guarantees that (1) holds for any two adjacent points $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$. 
Main Theorem

Theorem (W.-l. Huang and H. H., 2008). Let $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'$ be two graphs satisfying the above conditions (A1)–(A5). If $\varphi: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}'$ is a surjection which satisfies

$$d(x, y) = \text{diam } \Gamma \iff d(x^\varphi, y^\varphi) = \text{diam } \Gamma' \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{P},$$

then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of graphs. Consequently, $\text{diam } \Gamma = \text{diam } \Gamma'$. 
The graph on $M_{m \times n}(D)$ satisfies conditions (A1)–(A5) provided that $|D| \neq 2$.

**Theorem.** Let $D, D'$ be division rings with $|D|, |D'| \neq 2$. Let $m, n, p, q \geq 2$ be integers. If $\varphi : M_{m \times n}(D) \rightarrow M_{p \times q}(D')$ is a surjection which satisfies

$$\text{rank}(A - B) = \min\{m, n\} \iff \text{rank}(A^\varphi - B^\varphi) = \min\{p, q\}$$

for all $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(D)$, then $\varphi$ is bijective. Both $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ preserve adjacency of matrices. Moreover, $\min\{m, n\} = \min\{p, q\}$.

The associated projective space of rectangular matrices (Grassmannian) satisfies conditions (A1)–(A5) for any $D$. 
Let $D$ be a division ring which possesses an *involution*, i.e. an anti-automorphism of $D$ whose square equals the identity map of $D$. We fix one such involution of $D$ and denote it by $\bar{\cdot}$. Also, we assume that the following restrictions are satisfied:

(R1) The set $F$ of fixed elements of $\bar{\cdot}$ has more than three elements in common with the centre of $D$.

(R2) When $\bar{\cdot}$ is the identity map, whence $D = F$ is a field, then assume that $F$ does not have characteristic 2.

Let $H_n(D)$ denote the space of Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices over $D$ (with respect to $\bar{\cdot}$), where $n \geq 2$.

If $\bar{\cdot}$ is the identity map, then $H_n(D) =: S_n(F)$ is the space of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices over $F$. 
The graph on $\mathcal{H}_n(D)$ satisfies conditions (A1)–(A5) provided that the restrictions (R1) and (R2) are satisfied.

**Theorem.** Let $D, D'$ be division rings which possess involutions $\overline{-}$ and $\overline{-}'$, respectively, subject to the restrictions (R1) and (R2). Let $n, n'$ be integers $\geq 2$. If $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_n(D) \to \mathcal{H}_{n'}(D')$ is a surjection which satisfies

$$\text{rank}(A - B) = n \iff \text{rank}(A\varphi - B\varphi) = n' \quad \text{for all} \ A, B \in \mathcal{H}_n(D),$$

then $\varphi$ is bijective. Both $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ preserve adjacency of Hermitian matrices. Moreover, $n = n'$. 
Final remarks


Preservation theorems can be seen as consequences of first-order definability, V. Pambuccian, 2000.

Generalisation from division rings to rings. L. P. Huang: *Geometry of Matrices over Ring*, 2006.