

# The pleating lamination of convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds

Joint work w/ Bruno Dular

Jean-Marc Schlenker

University of Luxembourg

Discrete geometric structures 2024

September 2-6, 2024, TU Wien

# The hyperbolic space

## Definition

$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \mid \langle x, x \rangle_{3,1} = -1 \text{ \& } x_0 > 0\}$ , with the induced metric.

Complete, simply connected manifold of constant curvature  $-1$ .

Klein: as the interior of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Isometry group  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , acting conformally on  $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^3$ .

## Definition

A *hyperbolic 3-manifold* is a Riemannian manifold  $M$  of constant curvature  $-1$  (or equivalently, locally modelled on  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ).

If  $M$  is complete and oriented,  $M = \mathbb{H}^3 / \rho(\pi_1 M)$ , where  $\rho : \pi_1 M \rightarrow PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

# Convex co-compact and quasifuchsian manifolds

## Definition

A (non-compact) hyperbolic manifold is *convex co-compact* if it is complete and contains a non-empty, compact, geodesically convex subset  $K \subset M$ .

NB:  $K \subset M$  is *geodesically convex* if any geodesic segment with endpoints in  $K$  is contained in  $K$ .

## Definition

$M$  is quasifuchsian if convex co-compact and homeomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $S$  is a closed surface of genus  $\geq 2$ .

We will focus on quasifuchsian manifolds, but things extend to convex co-compact manifolds.

# Simultaneous uniformization

Let  $M$  be quasifuchsian,  $M \simeq S \times \mathbb{R}$ .

$M = \mathbb{H}^3 / \rho(\pi_1 S)$ ,  $\rho : \pi_1 S \rightarrow PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Limit set:  $\Lambda_\rho = \overline{\rho(\pi_1 S)} \cap \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^3$  is a Jordan curve (quasicircle).

$\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \Lambda_\rho = \Omega_- \cup \Omega_+$ .

$\rho$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\Omega_\pm$ , conformally.

$\partial_\infty M = (\Omega_+ / \rho(\pi_1 S)) \cup (\Omega_- / \rho(\pi_1 S))$ .

Therefore we get two conformal structures on  $S$ ,

$(c_-, c_+) \in \mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{T}_S$ .

**Theorem (Bers double uniformization (1950))**

*The map  $M \mapsto (c_-, c_+)$ , from  $\mathcal{QF}$  to  $\mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{T}_S$ , is 1-1 (biholomorphism).*

# The convex core

Let  $M$  be quasifuchsian.

The intersection of two non-empty geodesically convex subsets is geodesically convex and non-empty. Therefore,  $M$  contains a smallest non-empty convex subset, its *convex core*  $C(M)$ .

$M$  is *Fuchsian* if  $C(M)$  is a totally geodesic surface. Otherwise,  $C(M)$  is 3d (considered below).

$C(M)$  is a convex subset without extremal points (because minimal). Therefore,  $\partial C(M)$  is the union of two *pleated surfaces*: totally geodesic except along geodesics where it is “pleated”.

Therefore  $\partial C(M)$  is equipped with:

- its induced metric, hyperbolic:  $m_+, m_- \in \mathcal{T}_S$ ,
- its *measured pleating lamination*, a closed union of disjoint geodesics, equipped with a *transverse measure* which records the pleating.  $(-, l_+) \in \mathcal{ML}_S \times \mathcal{ML}_S$ .

# Measured laminations on surfaces

Let  $S$  be a closed surface, of genus  $\geq 2$ , with  $m$  hyperbolic metric. Measured laminations are composed of:

- a geodesic lamination: closed union of disjoint, complete geodesics,
- a transverse measure (weight on transverse segments).

In fact does not require  $m$ , topological data (e.g. closed curves vs geodesics). Properties:

- $\mathcal{ML}_S$  homeomorphic to ball, piecewise linear structure,
- $\dim(\mathcal{ML}_S) = \dim(\mathcal{T}_S) = 6g - 6$ ,
- $P\mathcal{ML}_S = \partial\mathcal{T}_S$  (Thurston compactification),
- $\mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{ML}_S \simeq T^*\mathcal{T}_S$ .

Measured laminations correspond to HQD in the “hyperbolic” (vs “complex”) Teichmüller theory.

# Two questions

Thurston asked two questions (1980s).

## Question (induced metrics)

Can any pair  $(m_+, m_-) \in \mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{T}_S$  be uniquely realized as induced metric on  $\partial C(M)$ ?

## Question

Is  $M$  uniquely determined by  $(l_-, l_+)$ ?

Analogy with e.g. hyperbolic polyhedra (compact, ideal):

- (Alexandrov)  $P \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  compact is uniquely determined by the induced metric on  $\partial P$ , hyperbolic metric with cone singularities of angle  $< 2\pi$  on  $S^2$ ,

# Motivation (cont'd)

- (Rivin)  $P \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  ideal is uniquely determined by the induced metric on  $\partial P$ , hyperbolic metric with cusps on  $S^2 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,
- (Andreev, Hodgson-Rivin)  $P \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  compact is uniquely determined by dihedral angles (if acute) or *dual metric*,
- (Andreev, Rivin)  $P \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  ideal is uniquely determined by dihedral angles.

Deeper motivation: quasifuchsian (resp. convex co-compact) manifolds connect the *complex* Teichmüller theory “at infinity” to the *hyperbolic* Teichmüller theory on the boundary of the convex core.

- Complex  $\mathcal{T}_S$ : complex structures, holomorphic quadratic differentials, ...
- Hyperbolic  $\mathcal{T}_S$ : hyperbolic structures, measured laminations, ...



Theorem (Sullivan, Epstein-Marden, Labourie, 1990s)

*Any  $(m_+, m_-) \in \mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{T}_S$  can be realized on  $\partial C(M)$ .  
Uniqueness ???*

Theorem (Bonahon-Otal 2004)

*$(l_-, l_+)$  can be realized on  $\partial C(M)$  iff it fills and has no curve with weight  $> \pi$ .*

Theorem (Dular-S. 2024)

*$M$  (non Fuchsian) quasifuchsian manifold is uniquely determined by  $(l_-, l_+)$ .*

## Definition

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{QF} \rightarrow \mathcal{FML}_\pi \subset \mathcal{ML}_S \times \mathcal{ML}_S, M \mapsto (I_-, I_+).$$

We want to prove that  $\mathcal{L}$  is 1-1.

1.  $\mathcal{L}$  is a limit of homeomorphisms.

Uses a foliation of  $M \setminus C(M)$  by  $K$ -surfaces,  $K \in (-1, 0)$  (Labourie 1992) and characterization of  $M$  by  $III$  on those  $K$ -surfaces (S. 2006). Defines  $\mathcal{L}_K : \mathcal{QF} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{T}_S$ ,  $M \rightarrow (III_{-,K}, III_{+,K})$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  as  $K \rightarrow -1$ .

2.  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\{I\})$  is compact (Bonahon-Otal 2004, “closing lemma”).
3. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a limit of homeos and ANR,  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\{I\})$  is contractible (Finney 1967, Daverman 1986).
4.  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\{I\})$  is a real analytic variety (follows from Bonahon).
5. A compact, contractible real analytic variety is a point (Sullivan).