Comments on "Architectural singularities of a class of pentapods"

Georg Nawratil

Institute of Geometry, Department of Mathematics, Dresden University of Technology, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

In the respective publication [1] architecturally singular designs (Ma and Angeles $[\underline{10}]^1$) of pentapods (5-degree-of-freedom fully-parallel manipulator with an axial spindle as moving platform) with coplanar base attachments are investigated. The authors correctly identified (cf. Section 1 of [1]) pentapods, which are special 5-SPU platforms, as rigid subassemblies in Stewart Gough (SG) platforms (cf. Kong and Gosselin [3]). Therefore, architecturally singular pentapods can only be degenerated SG manipulators (cf. Karger [<u>19</u>]).

Based on the scientific background of singularity analysis of parallel manipulators of SG type some comments about the article [1] from the perspective of previous results reported in the literature seem appropriate.

It is correct that the two conditions given in [18] are not sufficient for a manipulator to be architecturally singular, but due to the phrasing in Section 1 of [1], the reader may believe that the two algebraic conditions are always the same in the publications [18,19,20]. But this is not the case. In fact, it can easily be checked that the two algebraic conditions given in Eq. (17) of the final work [20] of Karger on this topic are sufficient. Therefore, the problem of determining all architecturally singular pentapods was already solved in [20]. An architecturally singular pentapod can only be of type 3,4,5,6,7,8 or 10 of Theorem 3 of [20], as for the types 1,2,9 and the two degenerated planar cases 11 and 12 (cf. [2]) all six pairs of anchor points have to fulfill certain geometric conditions.

Moreover, by considering a pentapod as a subassembly in a SG platform, the characterization of architecture singularity by the rank defectiveness of $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ (cf. Section 3.1 of [1]) follows directly from the more general one given by Röschel and Mick in Remark 1 of [3] for planar architecturally singular manipulators.

Letter to the Editor

Email address: nawratil@geometrie.tuwien.ac.at (Georg Nawratil).

URL: http://www.geometrie.tuwien.ac.at/nawratil (Georg Nawratil).

¹ The underlined references refer to the list of publications given in [1].

A similar characterization for the non-planar case was given by the author in [4].

Finally, the author wants to express his appreciation for the novel geometric interpretation of the generic case and for the resulting index (cf. Eq. (17) of [1]), which evaluates the proximity to an architecturally singular design.

References

- Borras, J., Thomas, F., Torras, C.: Architectural singularities of a class of pentapods. Mechanism and Machine Theory 46 (8) 1107–1120 (2011).
- [2] Nawratil, G.: On the degenerated cases of architecturally singular planar parallel manipulators. Journal of Geometry and Graphics 12 (2) 141–149 (2008).
- [3] Röschel, O., Mick, S.: Characterisation of architecturally shaky platforms. Advances in Robot Kinematics - Analysis and Control (J. Lenarcic, M.L. Husty eds.), 465–474, Kluwer (1998).
- [4] Nawratil, G.: A new approach to the classification of architecturally singular parallel manipulators. Computational Kinematics (A. Kecskemethy, A. Müller eds.), 349–358, Springer (2009).

For the original version of this letter to the editor please see:

Mechanism and Machine Theory 57, page 139 doi: /10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2012.06.007