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#### Abstract

Billiards in ellipses have a confocal ellipse or hyperbola as caustic. The goal of this paper is to prove that for each billiard of one type there exists an isometric counterpart of the other type. Isometry means here that the lengths of corresponding sides are equal. The transition between these two isometric billiard can be carried out continuosly via isometric focal billiards in a fixed ellipsoid. The extended sides of these particular billiards in an ellipsoid are focal axes, i.e., generators of confocal hyperboloids.

This transition enables to transfer properties of planar billiards to focal billiards, in particular billiard motions and canonical parametrizations. A periodic planar billiard and its associated Poncelet grid give rise to periodic focal billiards and spatial Poncelet grids. If the sides of a focal billiard are materialized as thin rods with spherical joints at the vertices and other crossing points between different sides, then we obtain Henrici's hyperboloid, which is flexible between the two planar limits.
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## 1 Introduction

A billiard is the trajectory of a mass point in a domain with ideal physical reflections in the boundary. Already for two centuries, billiards in ellipses have attracted the attention of mathematicians, beginning with J.-V. Poncelet and A. Cayley. In 2005 S. Tabachnikov published a book on billiards as integrable systems [17]. In several publications, V. Dragović and M. Radnović studied billiards in higher dimensions from the viewpoint of dynamical systems. Their survey [7] as well as the introduction in [17] provide insights into the rich history of this topic.

It is well-known that the sides of a billiard in an ellipse $e$ are tangent to a confocal conic called caustic. If this is an ellipse, we speak of an elliptic billiard. Otherwise the billiard is called hyperbolic. Computer animations of billiards in ellipses, which were carried out recently by D. Reznik, stimulated a new vivid interest on this well studied topic, where algebraic and analytic methods are meeting. Periodic billiards in an ellipse $e$ with a fixed caustic $c$ provide the standard example of a Poncelet porism, because the periodicity of a billiard in $e$ with caustic $c$ is independent of the choice of the initial vertex. Hence, the continuous variation of the initial vertex defines a so-called billiard motion, and this was the starting point for Reznik's investigations. He published a list of more than eighty invariants of periodic billiards in ellipses under billiard motions [12] and, with the coauthors R. Garcia, J. Koiller and M. Helman, also proofs for dozens of invariants. However, also other authors contributed proofs and detected more invariants, among them A. Akopyan, M. Bialy, A. Chavez-Caliz, R. Schwartz, S. Tabachnikov (see, e.g., $[1,3,5]$ ). A long list of further references can be found in [12].

In the literature on billiards in ellipses, the case of elliptic billiards is more intensively studied than that of hyperbolic billiards. The goal of this paper is to prove an isometry between elliptic and hyperbolic billiards. It is shown that there is even a continuous transition between these two types via particular billiards in ellipsoids, called focal billiards. This transition preserves the lengths of the billiards' sides and is related to Henrici's flexible hyperboloid, a well-known example of an overconstrained mechanism.

It needs to be mentioned that $n$-dimensional versions of billiards in quadrics for $n \geq 3$ ellipsoid were already studied by V. Dragović and M. Radnović within the framework of dynamical systems (see, e.g., [6, 7]). In [7, Example 2-17], focal billiards in ellipsoids are explicitly mentioned, but without any further details.

The underlying paper is organized as follows. The coming section recalls properties of billiards in ellipses and their associated Poncelet grids. After a brief comparison of elliptic and hyperbolic versions, Section 3 addresses the isometry between these two types. The proof is postponed to Section 4 which shows the continuous transition from one type to the other via isometric focal billiards in an ellipsoid. Finally, in Section 5, the transition is used to transfer results concerning billiard motions and invariants from the plane to three dimensions. Thus we obtain, in terms of Jacobian elliptic functions, a mapping that sends a square grid together with diagonals to the Poncelet grid of a focal billiard on a one-sheeted hyperboloid.

## 2 The geometry of billiards in ellipses

A family of confocal central conics is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}+k}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}+k}=1, \text { where } k \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{-a^{2},-b^{2}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

serves as a parameter in the family. All these conics share the focal points $F_{1,2}=( \pm d, 0)$ with $d^{2}:=a^{2}-b^{2}$.

The confocal family sends through each point $P$ outside the common axes of symmetry two orthogonally intersecting conics, one ellipse and one hyperbola [8, p. 38]. The parameters $\left(k_{e}, k_{h}\right)$ of these two conics define the elliptic coordinates of $P$ with

$$
-a^{2}<k_{h}<-b^{2}<k_{e}
$$

If $(x, y)$ are the Cartesian coordinates of $P$, then $\left(k_{e}, k_{h}\right)$ are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-x^{2}-y^{2}\right) k+\left(a^{2} b^{2}-b^{2} x^{2}-a^{2} y^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while conversely

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=\frac{\left(a^{2}+k_{e}\right)\left(a^{2}+k_{h}\right)}{d^{2}}, \quad y^{2}=-\frac{\left(b^{2}+k_{e}\right)\left(b^{2}+k_{h}\right)}{d^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(a, b)=\left(a_{c}, b_{c}\right)$ be the semiaxes of the ellipse $c$ with $k=0$. Then, for points $P$ on a confocal ellipse $e$ with semiaxes $\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ and $k=k_{e}>0$, i.e., exterior to $c$, the standard parametrization yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(a_{e} \cos t, b_{e} \sin t\right), 0 \leq t<2 \pi, \text { with } a_{e}^{2}=a_{c}^{2}+k_{e}, b_{e}^{2}=b_{c}^{2}+k_{e} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the elliptic coordinates $\left(k_{e}, k_{h}\right)$ of $P$ follows from (2) that

$$
k_{e}+k_{h}=a_{e}^{2} \cos ^{2} t+b_{e}^{2} \sin ^{2} t-a_{c}^{2}-b_{c}^{2} .
$$

After introducing tangent vectors of $e$ and $c$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}_{e}(t):=\left(-a_{e} \sin t, b_{e} \cos t\right) \text { and } \mathbf{t}_{c}(t):=\left(-a_{c} \sin t, b_{c} \cos t\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|\mathbf{t}_{e}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\mathbf{t}_{c}\right\|^{2}+k_{e}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{h}=k_{h}(t)=-\left(a_{c}^{2} \sin ^{2} t+b_{c}^{2} \cos ^{2} t\right)=-\left\|\mathbf{t}_{c}(t)\right\|^{2}=-\left\|\mathbf{t}_{e}(t)\right\|^{2}+k_{e} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{t}_{e}(t)\right\|^{2}=k_{e}-k_{h}(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that points on the confocal ellipses $e$ and $c$ with the same parameter $t$ have the same coordinate $k_{h}$. Consequently, they belong to the same confocal hyperbola (Figure 1). Conversely, points of $e$ or $c$ on this hyperbola have a parameter out of $\{t,-t, \pi+t, \pi-t\}$.

Let $\ldots P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots$ be a billiard in the ellipse $e$. Then the extended sides intersect at points

$$
S_{i}^{(j)}:= \begin{cases}{\left[P_{i-k-1}, P_{i-k}\right] \cap\left[P_{i+k}, P_{i+k+1}\right]} & \text { for } j=2 k,  \tag{8}\\ {\left[P_{i-k}, P_{i-k+1}\right] \cap\left[P_{i+k}, P_{i+k+1}\right]} & \text { for } j=2 k-1,\end{cases}
$$

where $i=\ldots, 1,2,3, \ldots$ and $j=1,2, \ldots$ These points are distributed on particular confocal conics: For fixed $j$, there are conics $e^{(j)}$ passing through the points $S_{i}^{(j)}$. On the other hand, the points $S_{i}^{(2)}, S_{i}^{(4)}, \ldots$ are located on the confocal hyperbola through $P_{i}$, while $S_{i}^{(1)}, S_{i}^{(3)}, \ldots$ belong to a confocal conic other than $c$ through the contact point between the side line [ $P_{i}, P_{i+1}$ ] and the caustic $c$. This configuration is called the associated Poncelet grid.

For an $N$-periodic billiard the set of points $S_{i}^{(j)}$ is finite. There are confocal conics $e^{(j)}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, p$ with $p=\left[\frac{N-3}{2}\right]$. If the billiard is centrally symmetric, then the points $S_{i}^{(j)}$ for $j=\frac{N-2}{2}$ are at infinity. Below we summarize some properties of the two types of billiards. For further details see, e.g., [15].


Figure 1: Periodic billiard $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{8}$ with turning number $\tau=1$ together with the conjugate billiard $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots P_{8}^{\prime}$ and the ellipses $e^{(1)}$ and $e^{(2)}$. The associated billiard in $e^{(1)}$ splits into two conjugate quadrangles; the billiard in $e^{(2)}$ has $\tau=3$.

### 2.1 Elliptical billiards

Let $\ldots P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} \ldots$ be a billiard in $e$ with the ellipse $c$ as caustic (Figure 1). The points $S_{i}^{(1)}, S_{i}^{(3)}, \ldots$ are located on the confocal hyperbola through the contact point $Q_{i}$ between $c$ and the side $P_{i} P_{i+1}$. On the other hand, $S_{i}^{(2)}, S_{i}^{(4)}, \ldots$ are located on the confocal hyperbola through $P_{i}$. For fixed $j$, the points $S_{i}^{(j)}, i=\ldots, 1,2, \ldots$, are either at infinity or points of a confocal ellipse $e^{(j)}$. The ellipses $e^{(j)}$ are independent of the position of the initial vertex $P_{1} \in e$, hence motion invariant.

Based on the standard parametrizations of $e$ and $c$, let $t_{i}$ denote the parameter of $P_{i}$ and $t_{i}^{\prime}$ that of $Q_{i}$. Then for $N$-periodic elliptic billiards, $N>2$, the sequence of parameters $t_{1}, t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{N}^{\prime}$ is cyclic. Exchanging $t_{i}$ with $t_{i}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to the exchange of the billiard $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{N}$ with the conjugate billiard $P_{i}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots P_{N}^{\prime}$ (see [15, Definition 3.10]). The turning number $\tau$ of any periodic elliptic billiard counts the surroundings of $e$ within one period. If the original billiard has $\tau=1$, then the extended sides in $e^{(1)}$ determine a billiard with turning number $\tau=2$, in $e^{(2)}$ with $\tau=3$, and so on.

For even $N$, opposite vertices of the elliptic billiard belong to the same confocal hyperbola. For odd $N$, each vertex and the contact point of $c$ with the opposite side belong to the same confocal hyperbola. As a consequence, for even $N$ and odd $\tau$, the elliptic billiard is centrally symmetric (Figures 1 or 3 , bottom). For odd $N$ and odd $\tau$, the billiard is centrally symmetric to the conjugate billiard. If $N$ is odd and $\tau$ even, then the conjugate billiard coincides with the original one.

### 2.2 Hyperbolic billiards

As illustrated in Figure 2, billiards in an ellipse $e$ with a confocal hyperbola $c$ as caustic are zig-zags between an upper and lower subarc of $e$. However, they differ from elliptic billiard


Figure 2: Periodic billiard $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{12}$ in the ellipse $e$ with the hyperbola $c$ as caustic, together with the hyperbolas $e^{(1)}, e^{(3)}$ and the ellipse $e^{(2)}$. The associated billiard in $e^{(2)}$ splits into four quadrangles.
also in other respects.
Let $T_{i}$ be the contact point between $c$ and the side line $\left[P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right.$ ]. Then the points $S_{i}^{(1)}, S_{i}^{(3)}, \ldots$ are located on the confocal ellipse through $T_{i}$. On the other hand, $S_{i}^{(2)}, S_{i}^{(4)}, \ldots$ are located on the confocal hyperbola through $P_{i}$. For odd $j$, the points $S_{i}^{(j)}, i=\ldots, 1,2, \ldots$, belong to a confocal hyperbola $e^{(j)}$ or to the secondary axis of $e$, or they are at infinity. If $S_{i}^{(j)}$ is finite, then the tangent to $e^{(j)}$ bisects the angle between the sides lines through $S_{i}^{(j)}$. For even $j$, the $S_{i}^{(j)}$ are vertices of a billiard inscribed in an ellipse $e^{(j)}$ (note $e^{(2)}$ in Figure 2) or at infinity. All conics $e^{(j)}$ are motion invariant.

Now we concentrate on $N$-periodic hyperbolic billiards $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{N}$. Since they oscillate between the upper and lower section of the circumscribed ellipse, $N$ must be even. If $\bar{P}_{1} \bar{P}_{2} \ldots \bar{P}_{N}$ denotes the billiard's image under the reflection in the principal axis, then the sequence of parameters $t_{1}, \bar{t}_{2}, t_{3}, \bar{t}_{4}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{N}$ of the vertices $P_{1}, \bar{P}_{2}, P_{3}, \bar{P}_{4}, \ldots, \bar{P}_{N}$ is cyclic. Also for hyperbolic billiards, it is possible to define the turning number $\tau$. It counts how often the points $P_{1}, \bar{P}_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots, \bar{P}_{N}$ run to and fro along one component of $e$. According to [15, Definition 3.13], there exist conjugate billiards also in this case.

For $N \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, the hyperbolic billiards are symmetric with respect to (w.r.t. in brief) the secondary axis of $e$ and $c$ (Figure 2$)$. For $N \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and odd turning number $\tau$, the billiards are centrally symmetric (Figure 3, top). For even $\tau$, each hyperbolic billiard is symmetric w.r.t. the principal axis of $e$ and $c$ (note also Lemma 7). If the initial point $P_{1}$ is chosen at any point of intersection between $e$ and the hyperbola $c$, then the billiard is twofold


Figure 3: Two isometric periodic billiards with $N=14$ and turning number $\tau=3$.
covered. The first side $P_{1} P_{2}$ is of course tangent to $c$ at $P_{1}$.

### 2.3 Isometry between elliptic and hyperbolic billiards

There is a surprising relation between billiards with ellipses and hyperbolas as caustics.
Definition 1. Two polygons $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots$ and $P_{1}^{*} P_{2}^{*} \ldots$ in the Euclidean 3 -space are called isometric if corresponding sides $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ and $P_{i}^{*} P_{i+1}^{*}$ have equal lengths for all $i=1,2, \ldots$

Theorem 1. 1. For each billiard $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots$ in an ellipse $e^{\prime}$ with an ellipse $c^{\prime}$ as caustic there exists an isometric billiard $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots$ in an ellipse $e^{\prime \prime}$ with a hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ as caustic. The billiard inscribed in $e^{\prime \prime}$ is unique only up to a reflection in the principal axis.
2. Conversely, for each billiard with a hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ as caustic there exists an isometric billiard with an ellipse $c^{\prime}$ as caustic, provided that in the case of an $N$-periodic billiard with $N \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, we traverse the billiard with the elliptic caustic twice.
3. For each side $P_{i}^{\prime} P_{i+1}^{\prime}$ of the original billiard, the isometry $\left[P_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow\left[P_{i}^{\prime \prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ between the extended sides maps the incident points $S_{k}^{(j) \prime}$ with $j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ of the associated

Poncelet grid to the corresponding point $S_{k}^{(j) \prime \prime}$ of the isometric billiard, hence

$$
\overline{P_{i}^{\prime \prime} S_{k}^{(j) \prime \prime}}=\overline{P_{i}^{\prime} S_{k}^{(j) \prime}} \quad \text { for } \quad k=i+\frac{j}{2}
$$

4. For all $i$, the isometric image of the contact point $Q_{i}^{\prime}$ of the side $P_{i}^{\prime} P_{i+1}^{\prime}$ with the ellipse $c^{\prime}$ is the point of intersection $Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ of the side $P_{i}^{\prime \prime} P_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ with the principal axis. On the other hand, the isometry sends the point of intersection $T_{i}^{\prime}$ between the side line $\left[P_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime}\right]$ and the principal axis to the contact point $T_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ of the side $P_{i}^{\prime \prime} P_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ with the hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ (Figure 3).
We use the notations $\left(a_{e}^{\prime}, b_{e}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(a_{c}^{\prime}, b_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ for the semiaxes of the ellipses $e^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime}$ as well as $\left(a_{e}^{\prime \prime}, b_{e}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(a_{c}^{\prime \prime}, b_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for those of $e^{\prime \prime}$ and the confocal hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$. Finally, let $d^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}$ denote the respective eccentricities of the confocal pairs ( $e^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ ) and ( $e^{\prime \prime}, c^{\prime \prime}$ ) and $k_{e}^{\prime}$ as well as $k_{e}^{\prime \prime}$ the respective elliptic coordinates of $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ w.r.t. the caustics, i.e., $k_{e}^{\prime}=a_{e}^{\prime 2}-a_{c}^{\prime 2}$ and $k_{e}^{\prime \prime}=a_{e}^{\prime \prime 2}-a_{c}^{\prime \prime 2}$. Then there hold the symmetric relations

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlr}
a_{c}^{\prime \prime}=d^{\prime}, & b_{c}^{\prime \prime}=b_{c}^{\prime}, & d^{\prime \prime}=a_{c}^{\prime}, & a_{e}^{\prime \prime}=a_{e}^{\prime}, & b_{e}^{\prime \prime 2}=k_{e}^{\prime} \\
a_{c}^{\prime}=d^{\prime \prime}, & b_{c}^{\prime}=b_{c}^{\prime \prime}, & d^{\prime}=a_{c}^{\prime \prime}, & a_{e}^{\prime}=a_{e}^{\prime \prime}, & b_{e}^{\prime 2}=k_{e}^{\prime \prime} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, the distances of corresponding points $P_{i}^{\prime}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ from the respective secondary axes are proportional.

Item 4 means that

$$
\overline{P_{i}^{\prime} Q_{i}^{\prime}}=\overline{P_{i}^{\prime \prime} Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{P_{i}^{\prime} Q_{i-1}^{\prime}}=\overline{P_{i}^{\prime \prime} Q_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

with $Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $Q_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}$ as points of intersection of the principal axis with the sides through $P_{i}^{\prime \prime}$.
Instead of a verification of Theorem 1 based on formulas from [15], we embed below the two isometric planar billiards as limiting poses in a continuous set of isometric spatial billiards in an ellipsoid.

## 3 Focal billiards in an ellipsoid

Let the billiard in the ellipse $e^{\prime}$ with the confocal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ as caustic be placed in the plane $z=0$. There exists an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ through $e^{\prime}$ with $c^{\prime}$ as focal ellipse. Hence, by [11, p. 280], $\mathcal{E}$ has the semiaxes

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{e}=a_{e}^{\prime}, \quad b_{e}=b_{e}^{\prime}, \quad c_{e}=\sqrt{a_{e}^{\prime 2}-a_{c}^{\prime 2}}=\sqrt{k_{e}^{\prime}}, \quad \text { where } a_{e}>b_{e}>c_{e} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

All quadrics which are confocal with $\mathcal{E}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k}+\frac{y^{2}}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k}+\frac{z^{2}}{k}=1 \quad \text { for } \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{-a_{c}^{\prime 2},-b_{c}^{\prime 2}, 0\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ as limiting case for $k=0$ and the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ for

$$
k=c_{e}^{2}=k_{e}^{\prime}=a_{e}^{\prime 2}-a_{c}^{\prime 2}=b_{e}^{\prime 2}-b_{c}^{\prime 2} .
$$

The family of confocal central quadrics contains

$$
\text { for }\left\{\begin{align*}
0<k & =k_{0}<\infty & & \text { triaxial ellipsoids }  \tag{12}\\
-b_{c}^{\prime 2}<k & =k_{1}<0 & & \text { one-sheeted hyperboloids } \\
-a_{c}^{\prime 2}<k & =k_{2}<-b_{c}^{\prime 2} & & \text { two-sheeted hyperboloids }
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and the focal hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ in the plane $y=0$ as the limit for $k=-b_{c}^{\prime 2}$ with the semiaxes $a_{c}^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{a_{c}^{\prime 2}-b_{c}^{\prime 2}}=d^{\prime}$ and $b_{c}^{\prime \prime}=b_{c}^{\prime}$.

We recall that the family of confocal quadrics sends through each point $P=(x, y, z)$ with $x y z \neq 0$ three mutually orthogonal surfaces, one of each type. The parameters $\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ of these quadrics are the elliptic coordinates of $P$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=\frac{\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{0}\right)\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}\right)\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}-b_{c}^{\prime 2}\right) a_{c}^{\prime 2}}, y^{2}=\frac{\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{0}\right)\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}\right)\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}-a_{c}^{\prime 2}\right)}, \quad z^{2}=\frac{k_{0} k_{1} k_{2}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2} b_{c}^{\prime 2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, eight points in space, symmetrically placed w.r.t. the coordinate frame, share their elliptic coordinates $\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$.

In the $[x, y]$-plane, the traces of the confocal triaxial ellipsoids and the one-sheeted hyperboloids are ellipses confocal with $e^{\prime}$ and respectively outside or inside the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$. The two-sheeted hyperboloids intersect the $[x, y]$-plane along confocal hyperbolas. Their second elliptic coordinate $k_{h}^{\prime}$ according to (6) equals $k_{2}$.

At the point $P=(x, y, z)$ with $x y z \neq 0$ and position vector $\mathbf{p}$, the normal vectors to the three quadrics through $P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{i}=\left(\frac{x}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{i}}, \frac{y}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{i}}, \frac{z}{k_{i}}\right) \text { for } k_{i} \neq 0,-b_{c}^{\prime 2},-a_{c}^{\prime 2} \text { and } i=0,1,2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

are mutually orthogonal and yield the dot product

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{n}_{i}\right\rangle=\frac{x^{2}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{i}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{i}}+\frac{z^{2}}{k_{i}}=1
$$

Therefore, confocal quadrics form a triply orthogonal system, and any two confocal quadrics of different types intersect each other along a line of curvature w.r.t both quadrics.

Let $P$ be a common point of the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ with $k_{0}=k_{e}^{\prime}$ and a confocal one-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ given by $k=k_{1}$. Then the two generators of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ through $P$ are symmetric w.r.t. $\mathcal{E}$, since they are asymptotic lines on $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and the tangent plane to $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ at $P$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}$. In other words, the reflection in $\mathcal{E}$ exchanges the two generators of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ through $P$ (Figure 4).

All generators of the one-sheeted hyperboloids in the confocal family together with the tangent lines of the two focal conics are called focal axes ${ }^{1}$ of the confocal family as well as of any contained single ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition 2. A billiard in an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ is called focal billiard if each side is located on a focal axis of $\mathcal{E}$.

Remark 1. There exist also billiards in $\mathcal{E}$ which are non-focal. They can also be periodic, for example any periodic billiard inscribed in $e^{\prime}$, but with a caustic different from the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{E}$. The side lines of any non-focal billiard in the ellipsoid are tangents to two fixed quadrics, which are confocal with $\mathcal{E}$. At the points of contact with the two quadrics, the tangent planes are orthogonal (see [11, p. 332]).
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Figure 4: The reflection in the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ permutes on each confocal one-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ the two reguli. The yellow polygon is a focal billiard between two confocal ellipsoids. ${ }^{2}$

Lemma 2. If one side line of a billiard in an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ is located on a focal axis, then the billiard is a focal billiard. This means, all side lines are generators of a confocal one-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{1}$, and the vertices of the billiard are located on the line of curvature $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$ (Figure 5).

Proof. This follows from the symmetry of the two $\mathcal{H}_{1}$-generators through any point $P \in e$ w.r.t. the tangent plane to $\mathcal{E}$ at $P$. Along both loops of the curve of intersection $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$, the elliptic coordinates $k_{0}$ and $k_{1}$ remain constant, while $k_{2}$ varies.

### 3.1 Focal billiards and Henrici's flexible hyperboloid

Theorem 3. Referring to the notation in Theorem 1, suppose that the billiard $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots$ lies in the $[x, y]$-plane and the isometric billiard $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots$ in the $[x, z]$ plane, such that the circumscribed ellipses $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ share the principal vertices on the $x$-axis and the semiaxes satisfy (9). Then the isometric transition from $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots$ to $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots$ can be carried out continuously via mutually isometric focal billiards $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots$ in the fixed triaxial ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ through $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ with the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ and the focal hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ (Figure 5).

During this transition, which is an affine motion, the paths of the billiards' vertices on $\mathcal{E}$ are orthogonal trajectories of the confocal one-sheeted hyperboloids. In the two flat poses, the sides of the billiards $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots$ in $e^{\prime}$ and $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots$ in $e^{\prime \prime}$ are tangent to the respectively coplanar focal conics $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$.

[^1]

Figure 5: The transition from the periodic flat billiard $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots P_{14}^{\prime}$ (green) in $e^{\prime}$ with caustic $c^{\prime}$ to the isometric focal billiard $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{14}$ (red) in the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ with vertices on the line of curvature $e$.

In order to prove Theorem 3, we focus on any pair of confocal one-sheeted hyperboloids $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$ with the respective elliptic coordinates $k_{1}$ and $k_{1}^{*}$ and $-b_{c}^{\prime 2}<k_{1}, k_{1}^{*}<0$. There is an axial scaling

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma\left(k_{1}, k_{1}^{*}\right): P & =(x, y, z) \mapsto P^{*}=\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}\right) \text { with } \mathcal{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1}^{*} \text { and } \\
x^{*} & =x \sqrt{\frac{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}^{*}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}}, \quad y^{*}=y \sqrt{\frac{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}^{*}}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}}, \quad z^{*}=z \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}^{*}}{k_{1}}} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

This affine transformation maps generators of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ to those of $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$, but also lines of curvature of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ to those of $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$. The latter follows from the Lemma below.

Lemma 4. Let $P \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $P^{*} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$ be corresponding points under the affine transformation $\gamma\left(k_{1}, k_{1}^{*}\right)$ defined in (15). Then, $P$ and $P^{*}$ share the elliptic coordinates $k_{0}$ and $k_{2}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the ellipsoid and the two-sheeted hyperboloid through $P=(x, y, z)$ passes also through $P^{*}=\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}\right)$. For the polynomial

$$
F(P, k):=\frac{x^{2}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k}+\frac{y^{2}}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k}+\frac{z^{2}}{k}-1
$$

holds $F\left(P, k_{1}\right)=0$ and $F\left(P^{*}, k_{1}^{*}\right)=0$. We prove for $k=k_{j}, j=0,2$ and therefore $k_{j} \neq k_{1}$, that the equation $F\left(P, k_{j}\right)=0$ implies $F\left(P^{*}, k_{j}\right)=0$ :

The claim is a consequence of the identity

$$
F\left(P^{*}, k_{j}\right)=\frac{k_{1}^{*}-k_{1}}{k_{j}-k_{1}} F\left(P, k_{1}\right)+\left(1-\frac{k_{1}^{*}-k_{1}}{k_{j}-k_{1}}\right) F\left(P, k_{j}\right) .
$$

This representation as an affine combination can readily be verified by

$$
\frac{1}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{j}} x^{* 2}=\frac{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}^{*}}{\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}\right)\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{j}\right)} x^{2}=\left[\frac{k_{1}^{*}-k_{1}}{\left(k_{j}-k_{1}\right)\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}\right)}+\frac{k_{j}-k_{1}^{*}}{\left(k_{j}-k_{1}\right)\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{j}\right)}\right] x^{2} .
$$

Similar identities hold for the terms with $y^{2}$ and $z^{2}$ in $F\left(P^{*}, k_{j}\right)$.
The choice $k_{1}^{*}=0$ in (15) defines a singular affine transformation which sends the focal billiard $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots$ to the isometric planar billiard $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots$ inscribed in the ellipse $e^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{E}$ in the plane $z=0$ (Figure 5). From the standard parametrization $x^{\prime}=a_{e}^{\prime} \cos t, y^{\prime}=b_{e}^{\prime} \sin t$ of $e^{\prime}$ follows with (15) for the curve $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$

$$
x(t)=\frac{a_{h_{1}}}{a_{c}^{\prime}} x^{\prime}, \quad y(t)=\frac{b_{h_{1}}}{b_{c}^{\prime}} y^{\prime}, \quad z(t)=0
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{h_{1}}=\sqrt{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}, \quad b_{h_{1}}=\sqrt{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}, \quad c_{h_{1}}=\sqrt{-k_{1}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as semiaxes of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$. This yields for the upper and the lower loop of $e$ the parametrizations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t))=\left(\frac{a_{e} a_{h_{1}}}{a_{c}^{\prime}} \cos t, \frac{b_{e} b_{h_{1}}}{b_{c}^{\prime}} \sin t, \pm \frac{\sqrt{k_{0} k_{1} k_{2}(t)}}{a_{c}^{\prime} b_{c}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the third equation follows from (13) with $k_{0}=k_{e}^{\prime}$ and $k_{2}=k_{h}^{\prime}$ by (6), i.e., for

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0}=a_{e}^{2}-a_{c}^{\prime 2}=b_{e}^{2}-b_{c}^{\prime 2}=c_{e}^{2}, \quad k_{2}=k_{2}(t)=-\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} t+b_{c}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} t\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second limit $k_{1}^{*}=-b_{c}^{\prime 2}$, the image under $\gamma\left(k_{1},-b_{c}^{\prime 2}\right)$ is the isometric planar billiard $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots$ (Figure 3) inscribed in the ellipse $e^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathcal{E}$ in $y=0$ with the focal hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ as caustic. By virtue of (15), we obtain for the upper and lower subarcs of $e^{\prime \prime}$ the parametrizations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=x(t) \sqrt{\frac{a_{c}^{\prime 2}-b_{c}^{\prime 2}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}}=\frac{a_{e} d^{\prime} \cos t}{a_{c}^{\prime}}, \quad y^{\prime \prime}(t)=0, \quad z^{\prime \prime}(t)=z(t) \frac{b_{c}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{-k_{1}}}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{-k_{0} k_{2}(t)}}{a_{c}^{\prime}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extreme locations of the vertices $P_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in e^{\prime \prime}$ with $t=0$ or $\pi$ are umbilics of the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ (see [11, Fig. 2]).
Remark 2. For any two poses $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots$ and $P_{1}^{*} P_{2}^{*} \ldots$ including the flat limits $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots$ and $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots$, the corresponding pairs of consecutive vertices form a skew isogram $P_{i} P_{i+1} P_{i}^{*} P_{i+1}^{*}$, since $\overline{P_{i} P_{i+1}}=\overline{P_{i}^{*} P_{i+1}^{*}}$ and $\overline{P_{i} P_{i+1}^{*}}=\overline{P_{i}^{*} P_{i+1}}$. The latter equation is a consequence of Ivory's Theorem (see, e.g., [11, p. 306]). Skew isograms have an axis of symmetry which connects the midpoints of the two diagonals. Therefore, the isometry between corresponding side lines [ $P_{i}, P_{i+1}$ ] and $\left[P_{i}^{*}, P_{i+1}^{*}\right]$ is an axial symmetry.

By the same token, Ivory's theorem implies more general $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}^{*}}=\overline{P_{i}^{*} P_{j}}$ for all $(i, j)$.
Proof. (Theorem 3) According to (17), the coordinates of the billiards' vertices are continuous functions of $k_{1}$. The variation of $k_{1}$ from 0 to $-b_{c}^{\prime 2}$ shows the stated transition from $P_{i}^{\prime}$ via $P_{i}$ to $P_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $i$. All trajectories are $k_{1}$-lines and therefore orthogonal to the confocal one-sheeted hyperboloids $k_{1}=$ const. through the billiards' sides. Hence for each side $P_{i} P_{i+1}$, the tangents to the trajectories of the endpoints are orthogonal to the side. Consequently, the length of each side remains constant during this transition. Moreover, each point attached to the moving


Figure 6: Periodic focal billiard in $\mathcal{E}$ along $e$ (yellow) with $N=22$ and turning number $\tau=5$ together with the spatial Poncelet grid. The extended sides determine focal billiards along $e^{(2)}$ with $\tau=7$ (red dashed), along $e^{(4)}$ with $\tau=3$ (black), and along $e^{(8)}$ with $\tau=1$ (red).
side line $\left[P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right]$ remains on the same ellipsoid and two-sheeted hyperboloid in the confocal family, since only $k_{1}$ varies.

In particular, the contact point $Q_{i}^{\prime}$ of the side $P_{i}^{\prime} P_{i+1}^{\prime}$ with the caustic $c^{\prime}$ remains in the plane $z=0$ and runs along a hyperbola. Similarly, the intersection point $T_{i}^{\prime}$ of the side $P_{i}^{\prime} P_{i+1}^{\prime}$ with the $x$-axis remains in the plane $y=0$ and runs along an ellipse. The end pose of this point is the contact point $T_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ of the side line $\left[P_{i}^{\prime \prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ with the caustic $c^{\prime \prime}$ (Figure 3).

Remark 3. In each pose, the sides of the billiards can serve as rods of a flexible Henrici hyperboloid with spherical joints at all vertices and crossing points between sides (see [11, p. 88] or [2, Fig. 17]). Different to the standard model of this framework [18], the endpoints of the rods are not located in planar sections but on closed lines of curvature on the confocal one-sheeted hyperboloid. If the axes of symmetry are fixed and the rods of the framework represent a periodic focal billiard, then during the flexion each crossing point runs along a line of curvature on a confocal ellipsoid or, in particular, on a hyperbola in the plane of the gorge ellipse or on an ellipse in the plane of the focal hyperbola.

We conclude this section with a few consequences of Lemma 4 and Theorem 3 for any periodic focal billiard in the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ and its flat limits with the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ and the focal hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ as respective caustics.


Figure 7: Another pose of the periodic focal billiard in $\mathcal{E}$ (yellow) with $N=22$ and turning number $\tau=5$ together with the associated spatial Poncelet grid. Moreover, the hyperbolic billiard which is isometric to the focal billiard in $e^{(2)}$ is displayed (green). In this particular pose the hyperbolic billiard inscribed in $e^{\prime \prime}$ is twofold covered.

Corollary 5. If there exist periodic focal billiards in the triaxial ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$, then all focal billiards in $\mathcal{E}$ have the same length $L_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Lemma 6. If the planar billiard in $e^{\prime}$ with the caustic $c^{\prime}$ is $N$-periodic with turning number $\tau$, then all focal billiards in the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ through $e^{\prime}$ with the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ are

- for even $N$, again $N$-periodic with turning number $\tau$,
- for odd $N, 2 N$-periodic with turning number $2 \tau$.

Lemma 7. $N$-periodic focal billiards in an triaxial ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ are
(i) for $N \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and even $\tau$, symmetric w.r.t. the plane $z=0$ of the focal ellipse,
(ii) for $N \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and odd $\tau$, symmetric w.r.t. the center $O$ of $\mathcal{E}$,
(iii) for $N \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and odd $\tau$, axial symmetric w.r.t. the $z$-axis. Focal billiards with $N \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and even $\tau$ split.

Proof. This follows from the relative position of opposite vertices $P_{i}$ and $P_{i+N / 2}$.
By virtue of Lemma 7, all periodic focal billiards in ellipsoids admit a symmetry which interchanges opposite vertices. This has consequences for the flat limits. Below, we use the symbol ar $(A, B, C)=\overline{A C} / \overline{B C}$ (distances signed) for the affine ratio of three collinear points $A, B, C$.

Corollary 8. 1. If $P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime} \ldots P_{N}^{\prime}$ is an $N$-periodic billiard in the ellipse $e^{\prime}$ with $Q_{1}^{\prime}, Q_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots$ as contact points with the ellipse $c^{\prime}$ as caustic (Figure 3), then

$$
\text { for even } N \prod_{i=1}^{N / 2} \operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime}, Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{N / 2}, \text { for odd } N \prod_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime}, Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

2. If $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots P_{N}^{\prime \prime}$ is an $N$-periodic billiard with turning number $\tau$ in an ellipse $e^{\prime \prime}$ with $T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, T_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ as contact points with the hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$ as caustic and $P_{i}^{\prime \prime} \neq T_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $i$, then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{N / 2} \operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}, T_{i}\right)=(-1)^{\tau}
$$

Proof. Due to Theorem 3, we prove the statements for an isometric focal billiard $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{N}$ in an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ in standard position, where $Q_{i}$ and $T_{i}$ are the intersection points of the sides [ $P_{i}, P_{i+1}$ ] with $z=0$ and $y=0$, respectively. Hence

$$
\operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime}, Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}, Q_{i}\right)=\frac{z_{i}}{z_{i+1}}, \quad \operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}^{\prime \prime}, P_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, T_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{ar}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}, T_{i}\right)=\frac{y_{i}}{y_{i+1}},
$$

where $P_{j}=\left(x_{j}, y_{j}, z_{j}\right)$ for all $j$. Thus, we obtain for the two products under consideration $z_{1} / z_{N / 2}$ and $y_{1} / y_{N / 2}$. The claims follow from the symmetries as listed in Lemma 7 .

At the same token, the transition from flat billiards in $e^{\prime}$ to focal billiards enables also to transfer the billiards in Ivory quadrangles with side lines tangent to $c^{\prime}$ (see [9] or [13]) to billiards on one-sheeted hyperboloids.

## 4 The geometry of focal billiards in ellipsoids

### 4.1 Side lengths and angles

Lemma 9. If the vertex $P \in e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$ of the focal billiard has the elliptic coordinates $\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, then for the angle $\theta / 2$ between the adjacent sides and the tangential plane to $\mathcal{E}$ at $P$ holds (note Figure 4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \frac{\theta}{2}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{0}-k_{1}}{k_{1}-k_{2}}}, \quad \sin \frac{\theta}{2}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{0}-k_{1}}{k_{0}-k_{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The principal curvature directions of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ at $P$ are normal to the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ and the two-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ through $P$. The poles of the tangent plane $\tau_{P}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ are the centers of curvature of orthogonal sections of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ through the principal curvature directions [11, Lemma 8.2.1]. Therefore, the respective radii of curvature are proportional to $k_{0}-k_{1}$ and $k_{1}-k_{2}$.

As a consequence, at the point $P$ the two generators of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ have direction vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{k_{1}-k_{2}} \frac{\mathbf{n}_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{n}_{2}\right\|} \pm \sqrt{k_{0}-k_{1}} \frac{\mathbf{n}_{0}}{\left\|\mathbf{n}_{0}\right\|} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\mathbf{n}_{0}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}_{2}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 4. The curves of constant angle $\theta$ on $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ are the curves of constant ratio of the elliptic coordinates relative to $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ or of the main curvatures. These curves played a role in [14]. The particular case $\theta=\pi / 2$ yields the intersection curve with the director sphere with radius $\sqrt{a_{h_{1}}^{2}+b_{h_{1}}^{2}-c_{h_{1}}^{2}}$ (see [19] or [11, p. 46]).

At the limit $k_{1}=0,(20)$ agrees with the formula

$$
\tan \frac{\theta(t)}{2}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{k_{0}}}{\left\|\mathbf{t}_{c}(t)\right\|}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{0}}{-k_{2}(t)}}
$$

in [15, Lemma 2.2]. For $k_{1}=-b_{c}^{\prime 2}$, i.e., for the hyperbolic billiard in the ellipse $e^{\prime \prime}$ follows

$$
\tan \frac{\theta(t)}{2}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{0}+b_{c}^{\prime 2}}{-b_{c}^{\prime 2}-k_{2}(t)}}= \pm \frac{b_{e}^{\prime}}{d^{\prime} \sin t}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{e}^{\prime \prime}}{a_{c}^{\prime \prime 2} \sin ^{2} t^{\prime \prime}-b_{c}^{\prime \prime 2} \cos ^{2} t^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

where $t^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the standard parameter of the ellipse $e^{\prime \prime}$ with semiaxes $a_{e}^{\prime \prime}=a_{e}$ and $b_{e}^{\prime \prime}=$ $c_{e}=k_{e}^{\prime}$, i.e., by (19)

$$
\cos t^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d^{\prime}}{a_{c}^{\prime}} \cos t, \quad \sin t^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\sqrt{a_{c}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} t+b_{c}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} t}}{a_{c}^{\prime}}
$$

According to (14), the normal vector of the ellipsoid along the curve $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$ with the parametrization $\mathbf{e}(t)$ from (17) is

$$
\mathbf{n}_{0}=\left(\frac{x(t)}{a_{e}^{2}}, \frac{y(t)}{b_{e}^{2}}, \frac{z(t)}{c_{e}^{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{a_{h_{1}}}{a_{c}^{\prime} a_{e}} \cos t, \frac{b_{h_{1}}}{b_{c}^{\prime} b_{e}} \sin t, \frac{\sqrt{k_{1} k_{2}}}{a_{c}^{\prime} b_{c}^{\prime} \sqrt{k_{0}}}\right) .
$$

This implies with (18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbf{n}_{0}\right\|^{2} & =\frac{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2} a_{e}^{2}} \cos ^{2} t+\frac{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}{b_{c}^{\prime 2} b_{e}^{2}} \sin ^{2} t-\frac{k_{1}\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} t+b_{c}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} t\right)}{a_{c}^{\prime 2} b_{c}^{\prime 2} k_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} t+a_{c}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} t+k_{0}\right)\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right)}{a_{e}^{2} b_{e}^{2} k_{0}}=\frac{\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right)\left(k_{0}-k_{2}\right)}{a_{e}^{2} b_{e}^{2} k_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar computations for the other normal vectors result in

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\mathbf{n}_{0}\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right)\left(k_{0}-k_{2}\right)}}{a_{e} b_{e} c_{e}}, \quad\left\|\mathbf{n}_{1}\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}}{a_{h_{1}} b_{h_{1}} c_{h_{1}}}  \tag{22}\\
\left\|\mathbf{n}_{2}\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{\left(k_{0}-k_{2}\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}}{a_{h_{2}} b_{h_{2}} c_{h_{2}}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{h_{2}}=\sqrt{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}}, \quad b_{h_{2}}=\sqrt{-\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}, \quad c_{h_{2}}=\sqrt{-k_{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the semiaxes of the two-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ which passes through the point with position vector $\mathbf{e}(t)$. By virtue of (22), the direction vectors (21) of the generators on $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{e} b_{e} c_{e} \mathbf{n}_{0} \pm a_{h_{2}} b_{h_{2}} c_{h_{2}} \mathbf{n}_{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the orientation of the normalvector $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ in (14) pointing outwards, we can also orientate the angles $\theta_{i}$ along $e$. We stick to a counter-clockwise order of the initial billiard in $e^{\prime}$ and notice that the angles $\theta_{i}$ change their sign from vertex to vertex in (20).

The tangent vector to $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$ from (17) is

$$
\mathbf{t}_{e}(t):=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathbf{e}(t)=\left(\frac{-a_{e} a_{h_{1}} \sin t}{a_{c}^{\prime}}, \frac{b_{e} b_{h_{1}} \cos t}{b_{c}^{\prime}}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{-k_{0} k_{1}} \sqrt{-\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}}{a_{c}^{\prime} b_{c}^{\prime} \sqrt{-k_{2}}}\right)
$$

This shows that

$$
\mathbf{t}_{e}(t)=\lambda \mathbf{n}_{2} \quad \text { with } \quad \lambda=-d^{\prime 2} \sin t \cos t=\sqrt{-\left(a^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)\left(b^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}=a_{h_{2}} b_{h_{2}}
$$

We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbf{t}_{e}(t)\right\|^{2} & =\frac{a_{e}^{2}\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}\right) \sin ^{2} t}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}}+\frac{b_{e}^{2}\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}\right) \cos ^{2} t}{b_{c}^{\prime 2}}-\frac{k_{0} k_{1}\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}{a_{c}^{\prime 2} b_{c}^{\prime 2} k_{2}} \\
& =-k_{2}+k_{0}+k_{1}-\frac{k_{0} k_{1}}{k_{2}}=\frac{\left(k_{2}-k_{0}\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}{k_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, in accordance with the third equation in (22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{t}_{e}(t)\right\|=\frac{\sqrt{\left(k_{0}-k_{2}(t)\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{2}(t)\right)}}{\sqrt{-k_{2}(t)}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (7), this is also valid for $k_{1}=0$.
Lemma 10. Let e be the curve of intersection between the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ with $k=k_{0}$ and semiaxes $\left(a_{e}, b_{e}, c_{e}\right)$ and the one-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ with $k=k_{1}$. Then the Joachimsthal integral for focal billiards along e equals

$$
J_{e}=\frac{k_{0}-k_{1}}{a_{e} b_{e} c_{e}} .
$$

Proof. The definition of the Joachimsthal integral in [1, p. 3] yields for $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$

$$
J_{e}:=-\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ as the unit vector of the directed side $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}$ the normalvector of $\mathcal{E}$ at $P_{i}$ (cf. [15, Figure 3]). Thus, we obtain for focal billiards by virtue of (20)

$$
J_{e}=\left\|\mathbf{n}_{0}\right\| \sin \frac{\theta}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(k_{0}-k_{1}\right)\left(k_{0}-k_{2}\right)}}{a_{e} b_{e} \sqrt{k_{0}}} \sqrt{\frac{k_{0}-k_{1}}{k_{0}-k_{2}}},
$$

which confirms the claim.
The expression in Lemma 10 yields for the planar billiard with an elliptic caustic, i.e., for $k_{1}=0$, the statement of [15, Lemma 3.4]. A similar result follows for the other planar limit $k_{1}=-b_{c}^{2}$, namely for the hyperbolic billiard in $e^{\prime \prime}$ as

$$
J_{e^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{b_{e}}{a_{e} \sqrt{k_{e}}}=\frac{\sqrt{k_{e}^{\prime \prime}}}{a_{e}^{\prime \prime} b_{e}^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

### 4.2 Periodic focal billiards in ellipsoids

The focal billiards in the triaxial ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ (semiaxes $a_{e}, b_{e}, c_{e}$ with $a_{e}>b_{e}>c_{e}$ ) are periodic if and only if the isometric billiards in the ellipse $e^{\prime}$ (semiaxes $a_{e}, b_{e}$ ) with the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ (semiaxes $a_{c}=\sqrt{a_{e}^{2}-c_{e}^{2}}$ and $b_{c}=\sqrt{b_{e}^{2}-c_{e}^{2}}$ ) as caustic are periodic. We just recall from [16, Theorem 2] that, in terms of Jacobian elliptic functions to the modulus $m=d / a_{c}$ equal to the numerical eccentricity of $c^{\prime}$, the periodicity is equivalent to a rational quotient ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K}{\Delta \widetilde{u}} \text { with } \operatorname{cn} \Delta \widetilde{u}=\frac{b_{c}}{b_{e}} \text { and } K=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}{\sqrt{1-m^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given any ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a two-dimensional set of inscribed focal billiards. Each planar billiard in $e^{\prime}$ determines by variation of $k_{1}$ a one-parameter set of isometric focal billiards inscribed respectively in lines of curvature $e$. While the perimeter $L_{\mathcal{E}}$ and even the side lengths remain constant, the Joachimsthal integral $J_{e}$ depends on $k_{1}$.

On the other hand, the billiard motion along the planar billiard in $e^{\prime}$ induces billiard motions along each single $e$, and this time $J_{e}$ remains constant, while angles and side lengths vary. For the particular case of periodic billiards, it is natural to check which of the around 80 invariants as listed in [12] have spatial counterparts at focal billiards. We pick out a few of them.

We continue with the spatial analogue of a theorem proved in [1, Theorem 4], that was a consequence of experiments carried out by D. Reznik [12].
Theorem 11. Let the focal billiards of the triaxial ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ with semiaxes $a_{e}, b_{e}, c_{e}$ be $N$-periodic. Then for the billiards inscribed in the line of curvature $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$ with the constant elliptic coordinates $k_{0}$ and $k_{1}$, the sum of cosines of the exterior angles $\theta_{i}$ equals

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos \theta_{i}=N-J_{e} L_{\mathcal{E}}=N-\frac{k_{0}-k_{1}}{a_{e} b_{e} c_{e}} L_{\mathcal{E}}
$$

with $J_{e}$ as Joachimsthal integral of e and $L_{\mathcal{E}}$ as common perimeter of the focal billiards of $\mathcal{E}$. Proof. In this proof we follow exactly the lines of the proof for the planar version in [1]: We compute the perimeter of the focal billiards in $\mathcal{E}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathcal{E}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\left(\mathbf{p}_{i+1}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right), \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i-1}\right\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{i},\left(\mathbf{u}_{i-1}-\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The signed angle between the unit vectors $\mathbf{u}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ equals $\theta_{i}$ (note Figure 4), and the difference vector $\left(\mathbf{u}_{i-1}-\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)$ has the direction of the normal vector $\mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}$ at $\mathbf{p}_{i}$ to $\mathcal{E}$, hence

$$
\mathbf{u}_{i-1}-\mathbf{u}_{i}=2 \sin \frac{\theta_{i}}{2} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}\right\|} \mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}, \text { where }\left\langle\mathbf{p}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}\right\rangle=1
$$

due to the equation of $\mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, the Joachimsthal integral of $e=\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_{1}$ equals

$$
J_{e}=-\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}\right\rangle=\left\|\mathbf{n}_{0 \mid i}\right\| \sin \frac{\theta_{i}}{2}
$$

[^2]for all $i$, which results in
$$
J_{e} L_{\mathcal{E}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} 2 \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta_{i}}{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(1-\cos \theta_{i}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Now we transfer two results on elliptic billiards (note [16, Theorems 8 and 9]) to the isometric focal billiards. The second result is a refinement of Corollary 8.

Theorem 12. Let $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{N}$ be an $N$-periodic focal billiard in the triaxial ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$ with the elliptic coordinate $k_{0}=c_{e}^{2}$. If the sides $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right],\left[P_{2}, P_{3}\right], \ldots$ intersect the plane $z=0$ through the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$ in the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots$, then the distances $r_{i}:=\overline{Q_{i-1} P_{i}}$ and $l_{i}:=\overline{P_{i} Q_{i}}$, $i=1, \ldots, N$, satisfy

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rll}
f o r \\
f=4 n, & n \in \mathbb{N}: & r_{i} \cdot r_{i+n}=l_{i} \cdot l_{i+n} \\
\text { for } N=4 n+2, & n \in \mathbb{N}: & r_{i} \cdot l_{i+n}=l_{i} \cdot r_{i+n+1}
\end{array}\right\}=k_{e}
$$

as well as

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{N} l_{i}=\prod_{i=1}^{N} r_{i}=k_{0}^{N / 2}, \text { and for } N \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \text { even } \prod_{i=1}^{N / 2} l_{i}=\prod_{i=1}^{N / 2} r_{i}=k_{0}^{N / 4}
$$

These formulas are also valid for the hyperbolic billiard $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ldots P_{N}^{\prime \prime}$ inscribed in $e^{\prime \prime}$.
Remark 5. Similar results can be expected for the intersection points $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}$ of the billiards' sides with the plane $y=0$ through the focal hyperbola $c^{\prime \prime}$.

### 4.3 Focal billiards and elliptic functions

As shown in [16, Theorem 1] for elliptic billiards in $e^{\prime}$, there is a one-parameter Liegroup of transformations which act not only on $e^{\prime}$ but on all points of the associated Poncelet grid. It preserves confocal ellipses and permutes confocal hyperbolas and tangents of the caustic $c^{\prime}$. Hence, it induces billiard motions of all billiards with the common caustic $c^{\prime}$. A generating infinitesimal transformation is defined by a field of velocity vectors for all points in the exterior of $c^{\prime}$ as

$$
P=\left(a_{e} \cos t, b_{e} \sin t\right) \mapsto \mathbf{v}_{P}=\left\|\mathbf{t}_{c}(t)\right\| \mathbf{t}_{e}(t)=\sqrt{-k_{h}(t)}\left(-a_{e} \sin t, b_{e} \cos t\right)
$$

If we see the velocity vectors as derivations of the position vectors by a parameter $u$ and use a dot for indicating this differentiation, then we obtain for the standard parameter $t$ and for the elliptic coordinates $\left(k_{e}, k_{h}\right)$ of $P$ in the plane $z=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{t}=\sqrt{-k_{h}(t)}, \quad \dot{k}_{e}=0, \quad \dot{k}_{h}=-2 \sqrt{k_{h}\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{h}\right)\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{h}\right)} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 4, we extend the field of velocity vectors to the space by differentiating $\mathbf{e}(t)$ in (17) and taking (27) into account. In terms of spatial elliptic coordinates we obtain the assignment

$$
\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\dot{k}_{0}, \dot{k}_{1}, \dot{k}_{2}\right)=\left(0,0,-2 \sqrt{k_{2}\left(a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)\left(b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

The corresponding transformations preserve ellipsoids and one-sheeted hyperboloids in the confocal family, while they permute two-sheeted hyperboloids and the generators in each regulus of one-sheeted hyperboloids. Thus, it induces billiard motions on all one-sheeted hyperboloids.

The parameter $u$ of the Liegroup in the plane as well as in space is canonical in the sense of [9]. This means that on $e^{\prime}$ the billiard transformation from one vertex to the next one corresponds to a shift of the respective canonical coordinates $u_{i} \mapsto u_{i+1}=u_{i}+2 \Delta u$. After the transference, the same is true for all focal billiards of the ellipsoid and for the hyperbolic billiard inscribed in $e^{\prime \prime}$.

According to [16, Theorem 2], a canonical parametrization can be expressed in terms of Jacobian elliptic functions to the modulus $d / a_{c}$. After replacing $u$ by $\widetilde{u}:=a_{c}^{\prime} u$, the three Jacobian elliptic base functions (see, e.g., [20]) are

$$
\operatorname{sn} \widetilde{u}=-\cos t, \quad \operatorname{cn} \widetilde{u}=\sin t, \quad \operatorname{dn} \tilde{u}=\sqrt{1-m^{2} \operatorname{sn} \widetilde{u}}=\frac{1}{a_{c}^{\prime}} \sqrt{a_{c}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} t+b_{c}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} t}
$$

The canonical parametrization of $e^{\prime}$, namely $\left(-a_{e} \operatorname{sn} \widetilde{u}, b_{e} \operatorname{cn} \widetilde{u}\right)$, and the formula $k_{2}(\widetilde{u})=$ $k_{h}(\widetilde{u})=-a_{c}^{\prime 2} \operatorname{dn}^{2} \widetilde{u}$ in [16, (4.11)] yield, due to (17), the canonical parametrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}(\widetilde{u})=(x(\widetilde{u}), y(\widetilde{u}), z(\widetilde{u}))=\left(-\frac{a_{e} \sqrt{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}}{a_{c}^{\prime}} \operatorname{sn} \widetilde{u}, \frac{b_{e} \sqrt{b_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}}{b_{c}^{\prime}} \operatorname{cn} \widetilde{u}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{-k_{0} k_{1}}}{b_{c}^{\prime}} \operatorname{dn} \widetilde{u}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the trajectory $e$ with the constant elliptic coordinates $k_{0}$ and $k_{1}$. For the hyperbolic billiard as limit with $k_{1}=-b_{c}^{2}$ follows by (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(\widetilde{u})=\sqrt{\frac{a_{c}^{\prime 2}-b_{c}^{\prime 2}}{a_{c}^{\prime 2}+k_{1}}} x(\widetilde{u})=-\frac{a_{e} d^{\prime}}{a_{c}^{\prime}} \operatorname{sn} \widetilde{u}, y^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad z^{\prime \prime}(\widetilde{u})=\frac{b_{c}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{-k_{1}}} z(\widetilde{u})= \pm \sqrt{k_{0}} \operatorname{dn} \widetilde{u} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each confocal ellipse $e^{\prime}$ in the exterior of $c^{\prime}$ is the trajectory of a billiard with the caustic $c^{\prime}$. By virtue of [16, Theorem 2], the corresponding shift $\Delta \widetilde{u}$ satisfies

$$
a_{e}=\frac{a_{c}^{\prime} \operatorname{dn}(\Delta \widetilde{u})}{\operatorname{cn}(\Delta \widetilde{u})} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{e}=\frac{b_{c}^{\prime}}{\operatorname{cn}(\Delta \widetilde{u})} .
$$

The conditions for a periodic billiard in $e^{\prime}$ are given in (26).
As explained in [16, Corollary 3], it makes sense to replace $k_{e}=k_{0}$ as a coordinate for the confocal ellipses $e^{\prime}$ by $\widetilde{v}:=\Delta \widetilde{u}$, since it is canonical, too. Note that

$$
k_{0}(\widetilde{v})=a_{e}^{2}-a_{c}^{\prime 2}=\frac{a_{c}^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2} \widetilde{v}}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \widetilde{v}}\left(1-m^{2}\right)
$$

By use of Lemma 4, we transfer the parametrization of the planar Poncelet grid by ( $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}$ ) to the Poncelet grid on the one-sheeted hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ with the elliptic coordinate $k_{1}$ (Figures 6 and 7 ). Thus, we obtain (compare with [4, Sect. 9])

Theorem 13. Referring to the notation in Theorem 3, the injective mapping

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{Z}: & U \times V \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1}, \quad(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) \mapsto\left(-a_{h_{1}} \frac{\operatorname{sn} \widetilde{u} \operatorname{dn} \widetilde{v}}{\operatorname{cn} \tilde{v}}, b_{h_{1}} \frac{\operatorname{cn} \widetilde{u}}{\operatorname{cn} \widetilde{v}}, \pm c_{h_{1}} \frac{\operatorname{dn} \widetilde{u} \operatorname{sn} \widetilde{v}}{\operatorname{cn} \widetilde{v}}\right) \\
& \text { for } U:=\{\widetilde{u} \mid-K<\widetilde{u}<3 K\}, \quad V:=\{\widetilde{v} \mid-K \leq \widetilde{v}<K\}
\end{array}
$$

parametrizes the one-sheetet hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ with semiaxes $\left(a_{h_{1}}, b_{h_{1}}, c_{h_{1}}\right)$ in such a way, that the lines $\widetilde{u}=$ const. are branches of the lines of curvature with $k_{2}=$ const. Along $\widetilde{v}=\mathrm{const}$. we have $k_{0}=$ const., and $\widetilde{u} \pm \widetilde{v}=$ const. defines generators of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$.


Figure 8: The injective mapping $\mathbf{Z}$ sends the square grid of points $Q_{i}, P_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{(j)}, i=1,2 \ldots, 14$, $j=2,4$, to the vertices and the diagonals to the net of curvature lines of the Poncelet grid associated to an $N$-periodic focal billiard on a one-sheeted hyperboloid with $N=14$ and turning number $\tau=2$.

The mapping $\mathbf{Z}$ sends a square grid, for example that displayed in Figure 8, to the Poncelet grid of a periodic focal billiard on $\mathcal{H}_{1}$. The domain of the mapping $\mathbf{Z}$ can be extended to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and satisfies

$$
\mathbf{Z}((\widetilde{u}+4 K), \widetilde{v})=\mathbf{Z}(\widetilde{u},(\widetilde{v}+2 K))=\mathbf{Z}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})
$$

At the limit $k_{1}=0$ we obtain the elliptic billiard in the plane of the focal ellipse $c^{\prime}$; in this case $\mathbf{Z}$ is two-to-one, since $\mathbf{Z}(\widetilde{u},-\widetilde{v})=\mathbf{Z}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})$. At the other limit $k_{1}=-b_{c}^{\prime}$ holds $\mathbf{Z}(K+\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})=\mathbf{Z}(K-\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})$. This corresponds to the fact, that the flexible Henrici hyperboloid is two-fold covered in its flat limiting poses (see [11, Figure 2.51]).

## 5 Conclusion

We reported about a remarkable relation between billiards in ellipses and Henrici's flexible hyperboloid: There is a continuous transition between elliptic and hyperbolic billiards together with the respectively associated Poncelet grids via focal billiards of a triaxial ellipsoid. This transition preserves not only the side lengths of the billiards but also the distances to the vertices of the Poncelet grids. In this way, invariants of an elliptic billiard under its billiard motion can be transferred to invariants of focal billiards and a hyperbolic billiard. A certain symmetry between the intersection points of the spatial billiard with the planes of the corresponding focal conics and related invariants will be subject of a future publication.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The notation stems from the analogy to focal points of plane algebraic curves. They are defined by the property that the isotropic lines through any focal point are tangents of the curve. Similarly, the isotropic planes through any focal axis are tangent to all quadrics in the confocal family (see [11, p. 289]).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Billiard between confocal conics and quadrics have already been studied in $[6,7]$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For a long time, similar characterizations for projectively equivalent problems have been known. The first was given 1828 by Jacobi in [10] for polygons with an incircle and a circumcircle.

